PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 5:	 	(11) International Publication Number: WO 92/20366
A61K 37/02	A1	(43) International Publication Date: 26 November 1992 (26.11.92)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US		34th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90017 (US).
(22) International Filing Date: 23 May 1992 ((23.05.9	2)
(30) Priority data: 704,995 24 May 1991 (24.05.91)	τ	(81) Designated States: AT (European patent), AU, BE (European patent), CA, CH (European patent), DE (European patent), DK, DK (European patent), ES (European patent), FI, FR (European patent), GB (European patent), GR (European patent), IT (European patent), JP, LU (European patent), MC (European patent), NL (European patent), NO, SE (European patent), US.
(60) Parent Application or Grant (63) Related by Continuation US 704,9 Filed on 24 May 1991 (3)	95 (C1 24.05.9	
(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): A PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [US/US]; 937. Centre Drive, San Diego, CA 92121 (US).	MYLI 3 Tow	N ee
(72) Inventors; and (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): RINK, Tin [GB/US]; 1839 Caminito Brisa, La Jolla, C. (US). YOUNG, Andrew, A. [NZ/US]; 9514 Eas San Diego, CA 92121 (US).	A 920	77

(54) Title: INSULIN AND AMYLIN CONTAINING COMPOSITION FOR THE TREATMENT OF INSULIN DEFI-CIENT MAMMALS

(57) Abstract

Method and compositions for treating a mammal by administering to that mammal an insulin (having the *in vitro* activity of stimulating glucose incorporation into glycogen in rat soleus muscle) and an amylin (having the *in vitro* activity of suppressing glucose incorporation into glycogen in rat soleus muscle) at preferred molar ratios.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT.	Austria	FI	l-inland	MI.	Mali
AU	Australia	FR	France	MN	Mongolia
88	Barbados	GA	Gabon	MR	Mauritania
BE	Belgium	GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
8F	Burkina Faso	GN	Guinea	NL.	Netherlands
BG	Bulgaria	GR	Greece	NO	Norway
BJ	Benin	HU	Hungary	PL	Poland
BR	Brazil	IE	Ireland	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	RU"	Russian Federation ** * **
CF	Central African Republic	J P	Japan	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo	KP	Democratic People's Republic	SE	Sweden -
CH	Switzerland	•	of Korea	SN	Senegal
CI	Cōte d'Ivoire	KR	Republic of Korea	SU	Soviet Union
CMI	Cameroon	LI	Licchtenstein	TD	Chad
CS.	Czechoslovakia	LK	Sri Lanka	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	LU	Luxembourg	US	United States of America
ÐK	Denmark	MC	Монасо		
ES	Spain	MG	Madagascar		

WO 92/20366 PCT/US92/04351

INSULIN AND AMYLIN CONTAINING COMPOSITION FOR THE TREATMENT OF INSULIN DEFICIENT MAMMALS

DESCRIPTION

Treatment of Insulin Deficient Mammals

Field of the Invention

This invention relates to therapeutic combinations of insulin and amylin and their use for treatment of insulin deficient mammals, such as diabetic humans.

5 Background of the Invention

Human diabetics are deficient in insulin secretion, and in some cases lack insulin. Insulin is one of several hormones which play a role in regulation of blood glucose levels. Simplistically, there are two main stores of glucose in a mammal—the liver and skeletal muscle, where glucose is stored in the form of glycogen. Muscle glycogen is used as a glucose source for the muscle, whereas liver glycogen is used as a glucose source for all tissues, including blood. It is the interplay of certain hormones in regulation of glycogen accumulation and breakdown that is critical in the invention described below.

Insulin regulates glucose uptake by muscle tissue for storage of the glucose as muscle glycogen. Insulin also prevents hyperglycemia, that is, the unacceptable accumulation of high levels of glucose in the blood, and suppresses conversion of liver glycogen to glucose, and subsequent secretion of that glucose into the blood. In the presence of excess insulin, blood glucose accumulates in muscle tissue as glycogen, liver glucose output is suppressed, and the level of blood glucose falls, to create a condition termed hypoglycemia.

Another hormone, glucagon, increases blood glucose levels by stimulating liver glycogen breakdown to glucose, and subsequent secretion of that glucose. This liver glycogen is used to maintain blood glucose levels, and gluca-

PCT/US92/04351 WO 92/20366

2

gon may be considered an insulin counterregulatory hormone.

Amylin is another hormone which has been discovered to be concerned in regulation of blood glucose levels. It 5 reverses insulin-mediated suppression of liver glucose output in rats. Molina et al., 39 Diabetes 260, 1990, and Koopmans et al., 39 Diabetes 101A, 1990.

European Patent Application No. 88307927.9 describes the treatment of diabetes mellitus or hypoglycemia with 10 amylin, or with a combination of amylin and insulin, preferably at a ratio of between 100:1 to 0.1:1 insulin to amylin. The applicant reports that the major problem with insulin treatment of diabetes is hypoglycemia and that co-administration of insulin and amylin will avoid this 15 side effect or otherwise reduce its risk and/or severity. The application states that amylin exerts a powerful modulating effect on insulin-induced storage of glucose as glycogen, and that amylin will act to modulate and reduce the hypoglycemic effects of insulin by reducing release of 20 insulin in relation to a given glucose stimulus, and by reducing the rate of storage of glucose as muscle glyco-That is, amylin should reduce insulin-sensitivity and cushion the hypoglycemic effects of insulin.

Summary of the Invention

25

Applicants' invention is concerned with discovery of a further surprising relationship between amylin and insulin. It also relates to the unexpected discovery of optimum ratios of insulin and amylin used for treatment of mammals suffering from diabetes, or other insulin defi-30 cient mammals. Applicant has discovered that it is preferable to maintain a ratio of amylin and insulin within a mammal in a relatively narrow range or ranges in order that both the amylin and insulin will have optimal effects, and prevent the damaging side effects f either 35 excess amylin or insulin alone.

WO 92/20366 PCT/US92/04351

3

In view of amylin's antagonism of muscle response to insulin, others have postulated that the effects of amylin and insulin would simply cancel each other out in vivo. However, applicants discovered that not only is this not the case, but that amylin suppression of the insulin response of a patient is increased as the hormonal concentrations increase above a certain value. Thus, when the hormones are provided in a fixed ratio, the effects of each hormone are moderated, and the damaging effect of either hormone alone at high concentration is prevented.

Amylin is a functional non-competitive antagonist of insulin in regard to muscle glycogen metabolism, for example. That is, regardless of insulin concentration and the level of insulin-stimulation, amylin at appropriate concentrations will nonetheless diminish the insulin 15 response. When amylin and insulin are present in a suitable fixed ratio, increasing concentrations of the mixture have the initial effect of insulin stimulation, and increases in muscle glycogen synthesis, for example, With further increases of concentration of the 20 mixture, the response to the insulin component increases little further but the non-competitive antagonism of the amylin component continues. The net response to further increases of the mixture is in fact diminished, resulting in a bell-shaped dose-response curve, rather than the sigmoid response typically obtained with insulin alone.

Applicants discovered that provision of amylin and insulin at a constant molar ratio results in a bell-shaped dose response relationship between hormone levels and glu30 cose disposal in skeletal muscle indicating that amylin and insulin act independently of one another, and are noncompetitive functional antagonists for certain key metabolic respons s, including glucose uptake into skeletal muscle glycogen and hepatic glucose output. Applicants
35 propose in this invention that patients suffering from diabetes may be treated in a manner, for example, which maintains the insulin and amylin within the patient at

relatively constant proportions, including those which may be similar to those present in normal mammals under physiologic conditions. This is advantageous because, at normal physiologic insulin and amylin concentrations, the 5 response of the body to insulin is not much altered in the presence of amylin, but at high insulin and amylin levels the response will be considerably lower than maximum in Thus, insulin mediated sidethe presence of amylin. effects are suppressed by amylin at high insulin concen-10 trations. Administration of insulin and amylin in a given proportion or the provision of at least about 0.5 mg/kg/ day amylin, reduces the risk of catastrophic hypoglycemia that would otherwise follow the introduction of excessive levels of insulin. In the regime proposed, the patient is 15 protected from an overdose of insulin since amylin dampens potential oscillations of plasma-glucose level caused by Without being bound to any poor insulin regulation. theory, applicants believe that the coadministered amylin operates by restoring hepatic glycogen stores so that, in 20 the presence of insulin, such glycogen is mobilized by glucagon.

One aim of coadministration of amylin and insulin in diabetes is to restore, as far as practicable, the most effective ratio of these hormones. Thus, the dose of each will reflect their respective deficit due to beta cell loss, and will be related approximately in the ratio of amylin to insulin normally co-secreted by a pancreatic beta cell. Applicants have discovered that a molar ratio of between about 3% and-about 40% amylin to insulin is indicated, i.e., an amylin to insulin ratio of about 1:35 to about 1:2.5. This may vary for different patients and the approximate ratio will be determined by (1) monitoring residual amylin and insulin levels by plasma immunoassay, and (2) by normal practice clinical monitoring of plasma glucose and clinical state.

It is desirabl to provide relatively constant amylin:insulin ratios, particularly when insulin levels

are likely to be changing. It is not likely, however, that a depot form of amylin, to match, say, ultralente insulin will be required. Ultralente provides a rather steady basal insulin level, not predicted to elicit hypoglycemic episodes. The main need is for effective levels of amylin to accompany and track insulin levels following administration of faster acting forms of insulin that lead to rapidly changing plasma levels.

tern of plasma concentrations which matches, within a factor of about 2.5-fold, in time-to-peak and half-time for disappearance, that of the soluble or rapid-acting insulin being administered to a patient. In this way, amylin will be most moderating of insulin action when insulin is the higher concentration range, and will leave insulin action essentially intact as plasma levels due to soluble insulin decline. The amylin administered will not significantly impact the ability of the ultralente contribution of basal insulin to limit upswings in plasma qlucose.

In summary, the preferred formulation for amylin is one which provides amylin/insulin concentrations ratios within about 33% to about 300% of those in normal subjects for 4 hours following administration of the patient's most rapid acting insulin dosage, and matches, within a factor of about 3-fold, the time-to-peak and the time course of decline of plasma insulin levels.

Thus, in a first aspect, the invention features a method for treating a mammal by administering to that mammal an insulin (having the <u>in vitro</u> activity of stimulating glucose incorporation into glycogen in rat soleus muscle) and an amylin (having the <u>in vitro</u> activity of suppressing glucose incorporation into glycogen in rat soleus muscle) at a molar ratio of between about 1:1 and about 40:1.

By "an insulin" is meant any insulin of natural or synthetic origin, and functional peptide fragments and

conservative variants Of an insulin which may be used in conventional treatment of diabetes mellitus. It is important in this invention, and it is clear to those of ordinary skill in this art, that the insulin polypeptide fragment need only have an insulin activity characterized by the in vitro activity of stimulating glucose incorporation into glycogen in rat soleus muscle, as described in detail below. Thus, the insulin may be produced in any standard manner including, but not limited to, extraction of bovine and porcine pancreatic insulin, expression of the insulin from recombinant DNA, and in vitro polypeptide synthesis of fragments of insulin. The insulin may also be provided in a fast-acting or semilente form.

By conservative variant is meant an insulin polypep-15 tide which has substantial (at least 90% of a contiguous ten amino acid sequence) amino acid sequence identity with a naturally occurring insulin, or one used in conventional treatment of diabetes mellitus, but which has one or more amino acids in such a sequence substituted in a conserva-20 tive manner, such that the biological activity of the insulin remains substantially intact. Examples of such a conservative substitution includes substituting positively charged amino acids for other positively charged amino acids, negatively charged amino acids for other negatively 25 charged amino acids, and simple amino acids, such as glycine, for other such amino acids, such as valine. insulins for this invention can be readily determined by testing a chosen insulin for the desired activity in the in vitro test described below, or any equivalent in vitro 30 or in vivo test.

By "an amylin" is meant any agonist molecule which includes a functional peptide fragment of a naturally occurring amylin, carboxy-deamidated amylin or calcit nin gene related peptide (CGRP) which includes at least five amino acid r sidues and has the <u>in vitro</u> activity described above. In addition, it is pr ferred that such an amylin perform <u>in vivo</u> a therapeutic function of the

complete amylin or CGRP peptide. The term also includes conservative variants and functional peptide fragments of such peptides. Examples of such amylins are provided in Fig. 1 where sequence homologies among amylins in humans and other species are shown (using a standard one letter amino acid code). Preferably, the amylin has a Kd of less than 60 pMolar in a receptor assay, and an EC₅₀ of less than 1nMolar in a rat soleus assay (Fig. 7, see below).

Those of ordinary skill in this art will recognize 10 that the above terms "insulin" and "amylin" can be read broadly to include any polypeptide or other chemical class having the above described desired biological activity, in vitro or in vivo, which stimulates or suppresses, respectively, glucose incorporation into glycogen in any 15 of many test systems, including, rat soleus muscle. addition, such persons recognize that the polypeptide may carboxy-terminated in provided be carboxy-terminally amidated form, or any other form which does not significantly affect the desired biological 20 activity of the polypeptide. For example, as described in European Patent Application No. 88307927.9, supra, the amylin may be prepared in a soluble form.

In preferred embodiments, the method includes the step of identifying a mammal having a reduced ability to produce insulin compared to a normal mammal, prior to administering the insulin and amylin to the mammal. Most preferably, the mammal is a human, e.g., suffering from diabetes mellitus.

In other preferred embodiments, the insulin and amylin are provided in a molar ratio between about 2.5:1 and 35:1 or about 5:1 and 25:1, and at least 0.5 micrograms of amylin per kilogram of the mammal per day are provided.

In a second aspect, the invention features a method for treating a mammal by first determining the level of an insulin and an amylin in the mammal, and then administering an amount of an insulin and an amylin which will pro-

WO 92/20366 PCT/US92/04351

8

vide a molar ratio of insulin and amylin in the serum of the animal between about 2.5:1 and 35:1.

The level of insulin and amylin in the mammal may be determined by any desired means, many examples of which exist in the published literature. In addition, amylin activity can be assayed as described by Cooper and Young, U.S. Serial No. 07/666,512, entitled "Amylin Activity Assays", filed March 8, 1991, assigned to the same assignee as the present application, and hereby incorporated by reference herein.

In a third aspect, the invention features a composition including an insulin and an amylin in a molar ratio of between about 2.5:1 and 35:1. Preferably, this composition is provided in a form which allows delayed release of both the insulin and amylin in a constant molar ratio, or in a form suitable for parenteral administration.

In a related aspect, the invention features a method for treating a mammal by administering to that mammal a composition containing an insulin and an amylin at a suitable molar ratio, such that the amount of amylin in the composition will result in circulating plasma levels of amylin that are about 3 to about 6% that of insulin upon administration of the composition to the patient.

one of the main advantages of the present invention
may be the reduction of the adverse effects of insulin
overdose so long as the correct ratio of amylin is also
provided with that insulin. At present, in order to avoid
the acutely unpleasant effects of hypoglycemia, patients
characteristically tend to hold back on their insulin
therapy, preferring to live with higher levels of plasma
glucose which do not present any immediately discernible
pathologies. However, the resulting chronic hyperglycemia
leads to vascular defects, insulin resistance, and abnormal carbohydrate metabolism. With the present invention,
such diabetics need no longer be resigned to this chronic
state of hyperglycemia. Thus, the optimum amylin/insulin

25

combination of this invention aids in overcoming long-term complications normally associated with insulin therapy.

The amylin/insulin formulations of this invention provides patients who inject their own insulin with an improved formulation that reduces the occurrence of low plasma glucose levels. These formulations impede glucose disposal into skeletal muscle when plasma glucose levels are depressed, stimulate hepatic glycogen synthesis to ensure an effective counterregulatory response to hypoglycemia, and permit essentially normal insulin control of hyperglycemia.

The blended insulin-amylin formulations place a limit on the rate of glucose disposal into skeletal muscle, which decreases the risk of hypoglycemia associated with aggressive hormone therapy. Additionally, the amylin will enhance or restore fatty acid synthesis from lactate and provide the patients a more normal body fat distribution.

Other advantages of this invention to diabetics include lower average plasma glucose levels; reduced long term complications; relaxation of monitoring needs; less need for rigorous definition of insulin dosage; greater freedom to vary size and timing of hormone therapy, and the extent and timing of any rigorous activity; and a better sense of well being.

As with insulin alone, the above insulin/amylin formulations can be tailored by standard techniques to the specific physiological requirements of each patient. Other features and advantages of the invention will be apparent from the following description of the preferred embodiments thereof, and from the claims.

Description of the Preferred Embodiments

The drawings will first briefly be described.

Drawings

- Fig. 1 is a representation, using standard single letter nomenclature to represent amino acids, of the amino acid sequence of amylin and related polypeptides;
- Fig. 2 is a graphical representation of insulin dose response in rat soleus muscle;
 - Fig. 3 is a graphical representation of a family of insulin dose response curves with different fixed concentrations of amylin;
- 10 Fig. 4 is a graphical representation of a family of amylin dose response curves with different fixed concentrations of insulin;
- Fig. 5 is a graphical representation showing an insulin/amylin dose response surface, the lines labelled 1, 14, and 100 representing the predicted responses for insulin/amylin mixtures where amylin is at 1%, 14% and 100% of the molar concentration of insulin, respectively.
- Fig. 6 is a graphical representation showing response to increasing insulin and amylin concentration in a fixed 20 ratio of 7 to 1; the upper line shows the sigmoid dose response for insulin alone;
 - Fig. 7 is a graphical representation showing amylin dose response curves utilizing three different methods for measuring experimental amylin concentrations; and
- Fig. 8 is a graphical representation of liver glycogen levels in rats, showing the effect of insulin and insulin/amylin combinations in restoring glycogen levels in streptozotocin-treated diabetic rats.

Detailed Description

- of amylin and insulin in an in vitro and an in vivo test format. These experiments illustrate the surprising nature of int raction of the two hormones in regulation of glycogen metabolism.
- As described in more detail b low, at low to moderate rates of insulin secretion, the range for most physiologic

11

situations, the response to insulin in the presence of amylin in not much altered. The slope of the insulin dose response is reduced as amylin concentrations begin to reach levels that significantly depress the insulin response. The maximum response achievable is considerably lower than the maximum that could be elicited by insulin alone. As insulin and amylin concentrations rise further, into ranges not normally expected to occur, the insulin response actually declines as increasing amylin action further depresses the functional response to insulin.

Example 1: Isolated Soleus Muscle of Rat

Soleus assay, dissection

Male Harlan Sprague Dawley rats of approximately 200 g mass were used in order to maintain soleus muscle 15 mass less than 40 mg. The animals were fasted for 4 hours prior to sacrifice by decapitation. The skin was stripped from the lower limb which was then pinned out on cork The tendo achilles was cut just above os calcis and m. gastrocnemius reflected out from the posterior 20 aspect of the tibia. M. soleus, a small 15-20 mm long, 0.5 mm thick flat muscle on the bone surface of m. gastrocnemius was then stripped clear and the perimysium cleaned off using fine scissors and forceps. M. soleus was then split into equal parts using a blade passed 25 antero-posteriorly through the belly of the muscle to obtain a total of 4 muscle strips from each animal. After dissecting the muscle from the animal, it was kept for a short period in physiological saline. The muscle was not held under tension, as reported in other methodologies 30 since in our hands this had no demonstrable effect on the insulin-sensitivity of radioglucose incorporation into glycog n.

Incubation

Muscles were added to 50 mL Erlenmeyer flasks con-35 taining 10 mL of a pregassed Krebs-Ringer bicarbonate

buffer containing (mM) NaCl 118.5; KCl 5.94; CaCl, 2.54; MgSO₄ 1.19; KH₂PO₄ 1.19; NaHCO₃ 25; glucose 5.5; and recombinant human insulin (Humulin-R, Eli Lilly, IN) and either synthetic rat (Bachem, Torrance, CA. Lot# ZG485) or syn-5 thetic human amylin (Bachem, Torrance, CA. Lot# ZH144) as detailed below. Methods used to determine the nature and purity of rat and human amylin included quantitative amino acid analysis, gas phase amino acid sequencing, reverse phase HPLC and fast-atom-bombardment mass spectrometry. 10 By the latter two methods, purity was 98.4 and 97.9% However, application of these methods respectively. failed to detect 1 atom of mercury per molecule of rat amylin, which was subsequently detected by electrospray ionization mass spectrometry and atomic absorption spec-15 troscopy. Subsequent analysis of the method of synthesis of the rat amylin suggests that this atom is interposed between the sulfur atoms of cysteine residues 2 and 7, and therefore forms part of the ring structure near the aminoterminus. Incorporation of mercury into rat amylin may be 20 a feature of many commercially available batches that have already been used in published studies. Since the peptide was bioactive, its action in muscle was expected to at least qualitatively mimic that of true rat amylin. mercuric method of cyclization was not used in the syn-25 thesis of human amylin, which is found by electrospray ionization mass spectrometry and atomic absorption spectroscopy to be mercury-free.

Muscles were assigned to different flasks so that the four muscle pieces from each animal were evenly distributed among the different assay conditions. The incubation media were gassed by gently blowing carbogen (95% O₂, 5% CO₂) over the surface while it was continuously agitated at 37°C in an oscillating water bath. pH of gassed media at 37°C was verified as being between 7.1 and 7.4. After a half-hour preincubation, 0.5 μCi of [U-14C]-glucose was added to each flask for a further 60 minutes. Each muscle piece was then rapidly removed, trimmed of tendons,

blotted, frozen in liquid N_2 , weighed and then stored at 20°C for subsequent determination of $^{14}\text{C-glycogen}$. The incubation medium was also frozen for subsequent analysis. The concentration of human amylin present in the medium at the end of the incubation was determined by radioimmuno-assay in surfactant-containing buffer. For both human and rat amylin, the actual mass added to the incubation medium was determined by quantitative amino-acid analysis.

14C-Glycogen Determination

10 Each frozen muscle specimen was placed in a vial with 1 mL 60% potassium hydroxide (wt/vol) and digested at 70°C for 45 minutes under intermittent vigorous agitation. Dissolved glycogen was precipitated onto the walls of the vial by addition of 3 mL absolute ethanol and overnight cooling at -20°C. After centrifugation for 30 minutes at 2000 x g, the supernatant was gently aspirated, the glycogen was again washed with ethanol and centrifuged, the ethanol aspirated and the precipitate dried under vacuum. It was important to evaporate all ethanol to avoid quenching during scintillation counting. The remaining glycogen was redissolved in 1 mL water and 4 mL scintillation fluid and counted for ¹⁴C.

Numerical Methods

A rate of glucose incorporation into glycogen (expressed in \$\mu\mol/hr/g\$ wet tissue) was obtained from the specific activity of \$^{14}C\$-glucose in the 5.5 mM glucose of the incubation medium, and the total \$^{14}C\$ counts remaining in the glycogen extracted from each muscle. Dose response curves were fitted to a 4-parameter logistic model using a least-squares iterative routine (ALLFIT, v2.7, NIH, MD) to derive EC_{50}'s. Since EC_{50} is log-normally distributed, it is expressed ± standard error of the logarithm. Pairwise comparisons were performed using ±-test based routines of SYSTAT.

Insulin dose response

Insulin dose response curves were obtained by incubation in media containing insulin added at final concentrations of 0, 0.071, 0.21, 0.71, 2.1, 7.1 and 71 $\text{nM}^{1/}$.

5 Amylin dose response

Amylin dose response curves were generated using muscles added to media containing 7.1 nM recombinant human insulin and either synthetic rat or synthetic human amylin (Bachem, Torrance, CA) added at final (nominal) concentrations of 0, 1, 3, 10, 30, 100, 300 and 1000 nM.

A supraphysiological insulin concentration was used for two reasons: (1) the precision of estimation of EC₅₀ was related to the magnitude of ¹⁴C incorporation into glycogen, so greater amylin suppression of the insulin response was possible with near-maximal insulin stimulation; and (2) using an insulin response near the plateau (asymptotic part) of the dose response curve in contrast to the 50% response obtained at the often-used insulin concentration of 710 pM minimized variation in response due to variation in the bathing insulin concentration or individual muscle insulin sensitivities. The effect of using higher insulin concentrations is seen in the reduced standard error of the amylin dose response ED₅₀'s detailed in Table 1.

25 Each assay also contained internal positive controls consisting of a single batch (Bachem Lot# 2G485) of archived rat amylin, lyophilized and stored at -20°C.

Referring to Fig. 9, data pooled from 5 amylin dose response assays performed on a single batch of human amy30 lin in the presence of 7.1nM insulin are shown. Curve A was fitted to the data obtained when amylin concentration is derived fr m mass initially weighed out and diluted. Curve B was fitted to data obtained when amylin concentration is derived from mass of peptide added to assay

³⁵ $^{1/}$ Conversion used, 1μ U/mL=7.1pM.

media as determined by quantitative amino-acid analysis. Curve C was fitted to data obtained when amylin concentration in the assay media is measured by radioimmunoassay. The EC $_{50}$ for curve C is 438pM \pm 0.17 log units (SE).

5 Insulin/amylin response matrix

The insulin/amylin dose response matrix was obtained by repeating the insulin dose response analyses with amylin added to nominal final concentrations of 0, 3, 10, 30, 100 and 300 nM, thereby generating a 6x6 table with quadruplicates at each combination of insulin and amylin concentrations.

Insulin: amylin fixed ratio dose response

Muscles were incubated in media containing synthetic rat amylin and recombinant human insulin added in a 1:7.1 molar ratio.

Insulin and amylin dose responses

Data pooled from 7 insulin dose response assays performed in the absence of amylin are shown in Fig 2. There was a 3.7-fold increment in rate of glycogen labelling, with an EC₅₀ of the response of 1.05 nM ± 0.07 log units (n=8 to 28 per point).

Combined insulin/amylin dose response

Fig 3 shows a series of insulin dose response curves obtained in the presence of different amylin concentrations as indicated. Data for the maxima and EC₅₀'s of the insulin responses are presented in Table 1. They indicate that the EC₅₀ of the insulin response was not progressively right-shifted with increasing amylin concentrations. Fig 4 shows amylin dose response curves obtained with different insulin concentrations, as indicated. Amylin EC₅₀'s and maximal responses, pr sented in Table 1, similarly indicate that the EC₅₀ of the amylin response was independent of the pr vailing insulin conc ntration. In other

15

25

words, amylin could suppress labelling of glycogen at all insulin concentrations. Fig 5 combines the data from the insulin and amylin dose responses into a single fitted The surface was generated as the product of 5 fractional responses to insulin and amylin, using means of parameters for slope and EC50 for the insulin and amylin transects, and plotted on log/log/linear axes.

The equation for the surface is

$$\left|\frac{A_{ins}-D_{ins}}{1+\left(\frac{Insulin}{C_{ins}}\right)B_{ins}}+D_{ins}\right|\times\left|\frac{A_{amy}-D_{amy}}{1+\left(\frac{Amylin}{C_{amy}}\right)B_{amy}}+D_{amy}\right|\times F+K$$

The equation thus has the general form... Response = [Insulin factor] x [Amylin factor] x F + K where

(maximal responses) $B_{amy} = 0.835$ (slope factors) $B_{ins} = -0.889$ $C_{amv} = 9.0 \text{ nm} \quad (EC_{50}s)$ $C_{ins} = 1.42 \text{ nM}$ D_{amy} = 0.15 (basal responses) $D_{ins} = 0.18$ = $4.0 \, \mu \text{mol/hr/g}$ wet muscle F (response factor) K (constant)

Insulin and Amylin concentrations are in nM

Since the baseplane of the graph is defined by log [insulin] and log [amylin] axes, combinations of insulin 20 and amylin in any given ratio are represented as straight Lines describing amylin:insulin lines on this plane. ratios of 0.01:1, 0.14:1 and 1:1 are projected up onto the surface (labelled 0.01, 0.14 and 1 respectively).

Insulin:amylin fixed ratio dose response

The experimentally determined response to increasing concentrations of a fixed 0.14:1 ratio of amylin:insulin are plotted as open circl s in Fig 6. The bell-shaped function g n rated fr m Equation 1, using [amylin]= [insulin] x 0.14, and which corresponds to the trajectory 30 labelled "0.14" in Fig 5, is shown as a continuous line.

Also plotted is the sigmoid dose response predicted for insulin in the absence of amylin. The data conform to the bell-shaped profile predicted for non-competitive functional antagonism rather than to a shifted sigmoid response curve as would be predicted for competitive antagonism.

The finding that the EC₅₀'s for amylin and insulin action on soleus muscle are not altered by increasing concentrations of the other hormone are consistent with biochemical and pharmacological data indicating that they act via separate receptors rather than by competing at a common receptor. Amylin behaves as a noncompetitive, functional antagonist to insulin. That is, amylin reduces the magnitude of the insulin response without affecting insulin potency. Critically important for analyzing the role of amylin is the finding that it causes insurmountable inhibition of insulin action.

Amylin's biological activity was originally described as an "antagonism" of the muscle response to insulin. 20 Some may therefore have considered that amylin and insulin represent self-canceling signals, and that if these hormones are secreted in a constant ratio, the effects of any increase in plasma amylin would be nullified by the proportionate increase in insulin. The "constant ratio" 25 slices through the response surface (Fig 4) instead predict that with any fixed ratios of insulin and amylin, suppression by amylin of the insulin response will eventually supervene as the concentrations of both hormones This prediction was confirmed by the experi-30 ments shown in Fig 6. If amylin and insulin were cosecreted in a fixed ratio, the bell-shaped trajectory of Fig 6 would represent the response of muscle to increasing secretion from the 8-cell. The peak height, the position and shape of the insulin:amylin bell-shaped curve are 35 functions of the muscle sensitivities to insulin and amylin, and their concentration ratio.

PCT/US92/04351

Table 1

Insulin Dose	Response	
[amylin]	Maximal response	EC _{so}
nM	μ mol/g wet tissue/hr	nm
(nominal)	Mean	Mean ± log SE
0	4.09	1.16 ± 0.10
3	3.45	0.78 ± 0.12
10	3.12	1.52 ± 0.15
30	2.13	1.33 ± 0.20
100	1.15	undetermined
300	0.93	undetermined
Amylin Dose	Response	
[insulin]	Maximal response	EC ₅₀
nM	μ mol/g wet tissue/hr	nm
(nominal)	Mean ± SEM	Mean \pm log SE
0	0.85 ± 0.17	12.15 ± 0.94
0.21	1.26 ± 0.18	10.27 ± 0.48
0.71	2.11 ± 0.18	8.24 ± 0.24
2.1	2.94 ± 0.18	10.45 ± 0.16
7.1	3.56 ± 0.19	8.69 ± 0.13
	4.07 ± 0.18	11.93 ± 0.11

Example 2: Daily Amylin replacement reverses hepatic 25 glycogen depletion in insulin-treated streptozotocin diabetic rats.

In streptozotocin-diabetic rats treated with insulin replacement, liver glycogen is some 35% depleted. Five consecutive daily subcutaneous injections with amylin dose-dependently restored liver glycogen to normal levels. Significant increases over insulin-only therapy occurred with amylin doses of 10, 30 and 100µg/day, representing amylin: insulin ratios of 0.22, 0.75 and 2.79.

Animals

There were 68 male Harlan Sprague Dawley rats (mass 301±3g) in 8 treatment groups. Animals were housed at 22.7±0.8°C in a 12:12 hour light:dark cycle (experiments being performed during the light cycle) and fed and watered ad libitum (Diet LM-485, Teklad, Madison, WI). The rats were sacrificed for harvesting of livers 4 to 5 hours into the light cycle.

Treatment Groups

10 1. STZ diabetic, insulin-only treatment (n=11).

Animals were injected with streptozotocin (Sigma Chemical Company, St Louis, MO: Sigma 50130) dissolved in water in a dose of 65mg/kg into the lateral tail vein. Upon exhibiting 5% glycosuria (Chemstrip uGH, Boehringer-Mannheim, FRG), rats were commenced upon a sliding-scale daily insulin treatment regime (Humulin-Ultralente, Eli Lilly, Indianapolis, IN) aimed towards maintaining aketonuria (by Chemstrip) but 5% glycosuria. Maintaining diabetic rats in this metabolic state optimizes survival. Following one week of established diabetes, animals received once daily s.c. injections of amylin vehicle (water for injection) for 5 days given at the time of the insulin injection.

2-6 STZ diabetes, insulin+amylin treatment groups.

These animals were treated identically to those in group 1 except that the daily subcutaneous injection contained 3µg (n=5); 10µg (n=12); 30µg (n=5); 100µg (n=5) or 300µg (n=5) of rat amylin (Bachem lot# WG4852/). The bioactivity of the peptide used in these experiments was first verified by bioassay, using inhibition of insulin-

١

This batch of rat amylin has subsequently been found to contain a mercury contaminant, believ d to be int r-posed between the sulphur atoms of th 2-cys 7-cys disulphide bridge.

stimulated radioglucose incorporation into glycogen in the isolated stripped rat soleus muscle. The EC₅₀ derived for the peptide used was 6.2nM (±0.2 log unit). The insulin dose in each of the groups of diabetic animals averaged 1.67U/animal/day. There were no other observable differences in the required management of the different groups.

7. Normal animals (n=10)

These animals were derived from the same stock and housed under the same conditions for the same time as those in groups 1-6, but were given no injections. 24 hours after the fifth daily injection of amylin or water (or an equivalent time after admission to the vivarium in the case of group 7 rats), the non-fasted rats were killed by decapitation and the livers immediately removed and frozen in liquid N₂, weighed and stored for subsequent determination of glycogen concentration.

8. STZ-diabetic animals, no treatment (n=10)

These animals were made diabetic as were groups 1-6, but received neither insulin nor amylin.

20 Glycogen determination

Whole livers were powdered while frozen. Approximately 200mg of powder was further homogenized in 1.0mL of 0.6M perchloric acid to denature enzymes. 200µL of the homogenate was neutralized with 0.5 volumes of 1.0M KHCO3 in either of two acetate buffer solutions, one containing 2.0mL of 200mM acetate (pH4.8), the other containing the same but with 18.5 U/mL of amyloglucosidase (EC5 3.2.1.3, from Aspergillus niger, Sigma A3423, Sigma Chemical Company, St Louis, MO) added. Following at least 20 minutes incubation at 23°C, the supernatants were assayed for glucose in an analyzer using D-glucose oxidase immobilized enzyme chemistry (Analyzer model 2300-STAT, YSI, Yellow Springs, OH). Purified rabbit liver glycogen (Sigma G8876) used as a standard indicat d 98±4% recovery of

dissolved glycogen and linearity within the range of observed liver glycogen concentrations (r=0.9994). All reagents were of analytical grade or better.

Numerical methods

5 Statistical comparisons were by Student's <u>t</u>-test routines contained in the SYSTAT system (Systat, Evanston, IL). All results are reported as means ± standard error of the mean.

Liver glycogen

Liver glycogen content was measured in rats with free 10 access to food up to the time of sacrifice (fasting rapidly depletes liver glycogen, such that the levels after 18-hours starvation are typically only 2-5% of those observed in the fed state). Glycogen contents for the 8 15 groups of animals are shown in Fig 8. STZ diabetic animals on no therapy showed a 67% decrease in liver glycogen concentration compared to normal rats (2.86 vs 8.6 mg/g, P<0.001). STZ diabetic animals receiving insulin replacement had a 35% decrease in liver glycogen compared to nor-20 mal rats (5.6 \underline{vs} 8.6 mg/g, \underline{P} <0.01). In insulin-treated STZ diabetic rats supplemented with daily amylin, there was a dose-dependent increase in liver glycogen concentration above that in rats replaced with insulin alone $(\underline{P}<0.05, 10\mu g/day; \underline{P}<0.02, 30\mu g/day; \underline{P}<0.01, 100\mu g/day)$. 25 The liver glycogen concentration in animals receiving 10, 30 and 100µg amylin per day was not significantly different from that in normal animals (P<0.3), although the mean values showed a dose-dependent increase with an apparent peak at $30\mu g/day$. The lowest dose examined, $3\mu g/day$ did 30 not measurably increase glycogen levels above those Interestingly, the highest treated with insulin alone. dose of amylin tested, $300\mu g/day$, also did not measurably restore liver glycogen towards normal, giving an apparently biphasic dose-response.

The results show that combined replacement of amylin and insulin can restore normal levels of liver glycogen in STZ-diabetic rats; full restoration is not achieved with insulin alone. This physiological response is caused by a once-daily subcutaneous injection in a molar ratio of amylin to insulin close to that thought to occur naturally in healthy animals.

Those of ordinary skill in the art reviewing both examples above will recognize that the data indicate to one of ordinary skill in the art that administration of insulin and amylin in the indicated ratios will be an effective treatment for diabetes in humans. Such amylin and insulin can be administered in any standard manner using pharmaceutically acceptable buffers. For example, the hormones may be administered in a form which causes delayed release of the hormones within the body.

Other embodiments are within the following claims.

Claims

1. A method for treating a mammal comprising the step of:

administering to said mammal an insulin having an in vitro activity of stimulating glucose incorporation into glycogen in rat soleus muscle, and an amylin having the in vitro activity of suppressing glucose incorporation into glycogen in rat soleus muscle, wherein said insulin and amylin are provided at a molar ratio of between 1:1 and 40:1.

- 2. The method of claim 1, wherein said method further comprises the step of identifying a mammal having a reduced ability to produce serum insulin compared to a normal said mammal.
- 3. The method of claim 1, wherein said insulin and amylin are provided at a molar ratio of between 2.5:1 and 35:1.
- 4. The method of claim 1, wherein said insulin and amylin are provided at a molar ratio of between 5:1 and 20 25:1.
 - 5. The method of claim 1, wherein said amylin is provided in an amount of at least 0.5 micrograms per kilogram of said mammal per day.
- 6. A method for treating a mammal, comprising the 25 steps of:

determining the level of insulin having an <u>in vitro</u> activity of stimulating glucose incorporation into glycogen in rat soleus muscle,

determining the level f an amylin having the 30 in vitro activity of suppr ssing glucose incorporation into glycogen in rat soleus muscle, and

administering an amount of insulin and amylin to said mammal which provides a blood serum molar ratio of insulin to amylin between 1:1 and 40:1.

- 7. A composition comprising an insulin having an in vitro activity of stimulating glucose incorporation into glycogen in rat soleus muscle, and an amylin having the in vitro activity of suppressing glucose incorporation into glycogen in rat soleus muscle, wherein said insulin and amylin are provided in a molar ratio of between 1:1 and 40:1.
 - 8. The composition of claim 7, wherein said insulin and amylin are provided at a molar ratio of between 2.5:1 and 35:1.
- 9. The composition of claim 7, wherein said insulin and amylin are provided at a molar ratio of between 5:1 and 10:1.
 - 10. The composition of claim 7, wherein said amylin is provided in an amount of at least 0.5 micrograms per kilogram of said mammal per day.
- 20 11. The composition of claim 7, wherein said composition is provided in a form suitable for parenteral administration.
 - 12. The composition of claim 7, wherein said insulin is provided in a fast-acting form.
- 25 13. A method for treating a mammal comprising the st p of:

administering to said mammal a composition comprising an insulin having an <u>in vitro</u> activity of stimulating glucose incorporation into glycogen in rat soleus muscle, and an amylin having the <u>in vitro</u> activity of suppressing

WO 92/20366 PCT/US92/04351

25

glucose incorporation into glycogen in rat soleus muscle, wherein said insulin and amylin are provided at a suitable molar ratio such that the amount of amylin in said composition will result in circulating plasma levels of amylin that are about 3 to 6 percent that of insulin upon administration of said composition to said mammal.

INTER-PEPTIDE (HUMANS)

K C N T A T C A T O R L A N F L V H S S N N F G A I L S S T N V G S N T Y - NH3	- H G L - S R - G G V V K N N F V P K A F - NH	A V - H G L - S R - G G N V K S N F V P K A F - NH ₂	
AMYLIN	CGRP-1	Z-4X9つ	

INTER-PEPTIDE (HUMANS)

Ę	7	2 E	2 	2 2	2 H	212	2 N	Z H	三 三 三 三
ł	i			I	Į	١	- 1	ı	l
>				ı	ŀ	ı	1	ı	ľ
	• (1		1		ı	ı	1	i
Z						ı	1	ŀ	1
S.			_		ŀ	1	ı	•	1
9		ı		ı	1	ı	ŧ	ı	ı
>		ı	1	ı	1	1	1	ı	ı
Z	. !	•	!		!	1			, , ,
<u></u>			١	1	1	-	-	ı	
S	1		Φ.	. a.	. Д	. Д	. д	. م	
S		1	!	1	Δ.		. !		1 <u>a</u>
	1	!			- 1	-	ı	- 1	
		'			>	>	>	✓	⋖
K	. 1	-	- I		<u>a</u>				i
G	1	!	- 1		ı	ı	ı		ı
<u>.</u>		'		لــ ا					
z		'		ı	1		ı	1	
Z			!	1			1	工	王
S				. '			Z		
S		1		-	1	1		1	1
I				IZ.	Œ	~		œ	~
FLV		•	_	ŀ	•				
			1		'	,	•	!	•
z	:		,	:	•	•	•	,	•
Z	·	•	•	•	i	•	•		·
_	•	i	•	ì	i	;	•	, I	7
~	•	'	•	•	,				,
0	÷	i	·	i	i				
_	i	i		·	i		i	·	·
_ <		i	·	i	i	i	i	i	i
ပ	i	i	i	i	i	i	i	i	·
_	i	i	i		ì	i	i	i	ï
«	i	ì	1	1	i	1	i	i	i
_	i	i	1 1	i	i	i	i	i	1
z	1	i	i	i	i	ì	i	i	i
<u>၂</u>	i	i	ı	ı	ı	ı	1	1	ì
Y	1	i	1	i	1	i	1	ı	i
								GUINEA PIG	<u>.</u> 199
Ĭ	A	Ĭ	ひ	ដ	₽	ĭ	¥	ಠ	DE.

<u>-</u>[G.]






FIG. 2.




FIG. 3.





FIG. 4.








FIG. 6.



8/8



AMYLIN: INSULIN 0.0 0.06 0.22 0.75 2.8 6.0

FIG. 8.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Applica No

PCT/US 92/04351

A Content of Decements of Patent Classification (PC) or to both National Classification and IPC Int. Cl. 5 A 6 1 K 37/02 Minimum Documentation Searched Classification System Classification System Classification System Classification System Int. Cl. 5 A 6 1 K Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Except that such Decements are Included in the Fricitor Searched The Decementation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Except that such Decements are Included in the Fricitor Searched The Decement of the Pricitor Searched The Decement of the Pricitor Searched The Decement of the Pricitor Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Except that such Decements are Included in the Fricitor Searched The Decement of the Pricitor Searched The Decement of the International Illing date of the Decement of the International Illing date but the pricitor of the Decement of the International Illing date but the pricitor of the Decement of the International Illing date but the pricitor of the Decement of the International Illing date but the pricitor of the Decement of the International Illing date but the pricity of the Decement of the International Illing date but the pricity of the Decement of the International Illing date but the pricity of the Decement of the International Illing date but the pricity of the Decement of the Illine the International Illing date but the pricity of the Decement of the Illine International Illing date but the pricity of the Decement of the Illine International Illing date but the pricity of the Decement of the Illine International Illing date but the pricity of the Decement of the Illine International Illing date but the pricity of the Decement of the Illine International Illi	L CLASSIFI	CATION OF SUBJE	CT MATTER (if several classification	n symb	ols apply, indicate ail) ⁶	
II. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT? III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT? III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT? Category* Citation of Documents, II' with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages* X EP, A, 0309100 (AMYLIN CORP.) 29 March 1989, see claim 2 (cited in the application) X EP, A, 0408284 (AMYLIN CORP.) 16 January 1991, see abstract **A* document defining the general strate of the art which is not 1-13 **In the common body in the application of the common body in the applic	According to	International Patent	Classification (IPC) or to both National	Class	ification and IPC	
Classification System Classification Symbols Int.Cl. 5 A 61 K Decumentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are Included in the Fields Searched* III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT® Category® Citation of Document, II with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages ¹² Relevant to Claim No.D X EP, A, 0.309100 (AMYLIN CORP.) 29 March 1989, see claim 2 (cited in the application) X EP, A, 0.408284 (AMYLIN CORP.) 16 January 1991, see abstract **Concept to be of particular relevance or the natural control of the particular relevance or the considerate to be of particular relevance or the state of the state			A 61 K 37/02			
Classification System Classification Symbols Int.Cl. 5 A 61 K Decumentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are Included in the Fields Searched* III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT® Category® Citation of Document, II with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages ¹² Relevant to Claim No.D X EP, A, 0.309100 (AMYLIN CORP.) 29 March 1989, see claim 2 (cited in the application) X EP, A, 0.408284 (AMYLIN CORP.) 16 January 1991, see abstract **Concept to be of particular relevance or the natural control of the particular relevance or the considerate to be of particular relevance or the state of the state			-			
Classification Symbols Int.Cl.5 A 61 K Documentation Searched ofter than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are lacluded in the Fields Searched* III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT* Category* Citation of Document, I** with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages I* X EP, A, 0.309100 (AMYLIN CORP.) 29 March 1999, see claim 2 (cited in the application) X EP, A, 0.408284 (AMYLIN CORP.) 16 1-13 **Special categories of cited documents: No	II. FIELDS S	EARCHED				
Int.Cl.5 A 61 K Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are Included in the Fields Searched* III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT* Category* Citation of Document, *II* with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages *II* Relevant to Claim No.*IJ X EP,A,0309100 (AMYLIN CORP.) 29 March 1989, see claim 2 (cited in the application) X EP,A,0408284 (AMYLIN CORP.) 16 January 1991, see abstract *A document defining the general rate of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance relevance to the set of the second constant of the principle or theory underlying the constant of the			Minimum Docu	umenta	ition Searched ⁷	
Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are Included in the Firids Searched. III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT® Category® Citation of Document, *II with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages II Relevant to Chain No.IJ X EP, A, 0309100 (AMYLIN CORP.) 29 March 1989, see claim 2 (cited in the application) X EP, A, 0408284 (AMYLIN CORP.) 16 1-13 *Special categories of cited documents : *N *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be imparitually relevance. **A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be optically relevance. **Considered to be optically relevance. *If considered to be optically relevance. *If document with third and the considered on or affect he international filling date. **Considered of particular relevance the chimed invention cannot be considered over or cannot be considered to considered to the relevance of the means. **To document published prior to the international filling date but later than the priority can a noral disclosure, use, and exhibition or other means. **To document published prior to the international filling date but later than the priority date claimed. **To document published prior to the international filling date but later than the priority date claimed. **To document published prior to the international filling date but later than the priority date claimed. **To document published prior to the international filling date but later than the priority date claimed. **To document published prior to the international filling date but later than the priority date claimed. **To document published prior to the international filling date but later than the priority date claimed. **To document published prior to the international filling date but later than the priority date claimed. **To document published prior to the international filling date but later than the priority date claimed. **To document published prior t	Classificatio	n System		Cla	ssification Symbols	
Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are Included in the Firids Searched. III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT® Category® Citation of Document, *II with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages II Relevant to Chain No.IJ X EP, A, 0309100 (AMYLIN CORP.) 29 March 1989, see claim 2 (cited in the application) X EP, A, 0408284 (AMYLIN CORP.) 16 1-13 *Special categories of cited documents : *N *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be imparitually relevance. **A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be optically relevance. **Considered to be optically relevance. *If considered to be optically relevance. *If document with third and the considered on or affect he international filling date. **Considered of particular relevance the chimed invention cannot be considered over or cannot be considered to considered to the relevance of the means. **To document published prior to the international filling date but later than the priority can a noral disclosure, use, and exhibition or other means. **To document published prior to the international filling date but later than the priority date claimed. **To document published prior to the international filling date but later than the priority date claimed. **To document published prior to the international filling date but later than the priority date claimed. **To document published prior to the international filling date but later than the priority date claimed. **To document published prior to the international filling date but later than the priority date claimed. **To document published prior to the international filling date but later than the priority date claimed. **To document published prior to the international filling date but later than the priority date claimed. **To document published prior to the international filling date but later than the priority date claimed. **To document published prior t						
III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT? Category* Citudes of Document, 11 with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 12 Relevant to Claim No.13 X EP, A, 0309100 (AMYLIN CORP.) 29 March 1989, see claim 2 (cited in the application) X EP, A, 0408284 (AMYLIN CORP.) 16 1-13 **Special categories of cited documents: 19 *A* document defailing the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance **Considered to be of particular relevance **Te cate document the published on or sher the international filing date to the considered to be of particular relevance **The considered to be considered to investigate the invention of the considered to investigate the considered to considered to investigate the considered to investigate the considered to investigate t	Int.Cl.	. 5	A 61 K			
III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT? Category* Citudes of Document, 11 with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 12 Relevant to Claim No.13 X EP, A, 0309100 (AMYLIN CORP.) 29 March 1989, see claim 2 (cited in the application) X EP, A, 0408284 (AMYLIN CORP.) 16 1-13 **Special categories of cited documents: 19 *A* document defailing the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance **Considered to be of particular relevance **Te cate document the published on or sher the international filing date to the considered to be of particular relevance **The considered to be considered to investigate the invention of the considered to investigate the considered to considered to investigate the considered to investigate the considered to investigate t						
III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT? Category* Citation of Document, 11 with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 12 Relevant to Claim No.13 X EP,A,0309100 (AMYLIN CORP.) 29 March 1989, see claim 2 (cited in the application) X EP,A,0408284 (AMYLIN CORP.) 16 1-13 1-13 **Special categories of cited documents: 10 "A" document defining the general state of the ant which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E earlier document to published on or site the International filing date "C document which may three deables of principle claims or considered to be of particular relevance "C document which may three deables on princip claims or claims or of the special reason (as specified) "O' document referring to an end disclosure, use, exhibition or the special reason (as specified) "O' document referring to an end disclosure, use, exhibition or the special reason (as specified) "O' document referring to an end disclosure, use, exhibition or the special reason (as specified) "O' document referring to an end disclosure, use, exhibition or the special reason (as specified) "O' document referring to an end disclosure, use, exhibition or the special reason (as specified) "O' document referring to an end disclosure, use, exhibition or the special reason (as specified) "O' document referring to an end disclosure, use, exhibition or the special reason (as specified) "O' document referring to an end disclosure, use, exhibition or the special reason (as specified) "O' document referring to an end disclosure, use, exhibition or the special reason (as specified) "O' document referring to an end disclosure, use, exhibition or the special reason (as specified) "O' document referring to an end disclosure, use, exhibition or the special reason (as specified) "O' document referring to an end disclosure, use, exhibition or the special reason (as specified) "O' document referring to an end disclosure, use, exhibition or the special reason (as specified) "O' document referring to			Documentation Searched oth	her tba	n Minimum Documentation	
Category* Citation of Document, 11 with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 12 EP, A, 0309100 (AMYLIN CORP.) 29 March 1989, see claim 2 (cited in the application) EP, A, 0408284 (AMYLIN CORP.) 16 1-13 **Special categories of cited documents: 19 A Danuary 1991, see abstract **To document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance to considered to be of particular relevance filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to inderstand the principle or theory underlying the investion state of nonther citation or other special reason (as specified) To document which say thor doubts on a priority daim(c) or distance or other special reason (as specified) To document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed To document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed TO document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed TO document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed TO document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed TO document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed TO document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed TO document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed TO document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed TO document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed TO document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed TO document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed TO document published prior to the internat		•	to the Extent that such Documen	nts are	Included in the Fleids Searched	
Category* Citation of Document, 11 with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 12 EP, A, 0309100 (AMYLIN CORP.) 29 March 1989, see claim 2 (cited in the application) EP, A, 0408284 (AMYLIN CORP.) 16 I-13 **Special categories of cited documents: 19 A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance relating to the considered to be of particular relevance filling date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to inderstand the published on or after the international filling date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to inderstand the principle or theory underlying the investion particular relevance the claimed invention considered have for causes the considered to invention and the constitution or other special reason (as specified) To document published prior to the international filling date but late the priority date claimed TV. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 23-09-1992 International Searching Authority EP, A, 0408284 (AMYLIN CORP.) 16 1-13 1-13						
Category* Citation of Document, 11 with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 12 EP, A, 0309100 (AMYLIN CORP.) 29 March 1989, see claim 2 (cited in the application) EP, A, 0408284 (AMYLIN CORP.) 16 1-13 **Special categories of cited documents: 19 A Danuary 1991, see abstract **To document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance to considered to be of particular relevance filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to inderstand the principle or theory underlying the investion state of nonther citation or other special reason (as specified) To document which say thor doubts on a priority daim(c) or distance or other special reason (as specified) To document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed To document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed TO document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed TO document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed TO document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed TO document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed TO document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed TO document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed TO document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed TO document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed TO document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed TO document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed TO document published prior to the internat						
Category* Citation of Document, 11 with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 12 EP, A, 0309100 (AMYLIN CORP.) 29 March 1989, see claim 2 (cited in the application) EP, A, 0408284 (AMYLIN CORP.) 16 I-13 **Special categories of cited documents: 19 A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance relating to the considered to be of particular relevance filling date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to inderstand the published on or after the international filling date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to inderstand the principle or theory underlying the investion particular relevance the claimed invention considered have for causes the considered to invention and the constitution or other special reason (as specified) To document published prior to the international filling date but late the priority date claimed TV. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 23-09-1992 International Searching Authority EP, A, 0408284 (AMYLIN CORP.) 16 1-13 1-13			_			
Special categories of cited documents: 10 1-13	III. DOCUM	ENTS CONSIDERE	D TO BE RELEVANT ⁹			
*Special categories of cited documents: NO January 1991, see abstract *Special categories of cited documents: NO January 1991, see abstract *A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance. The considered to be of particular relevance filling date *To document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) *Or document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means *P* document published prior to the international filling date but itset than the priority date claimed invention cannot be considered to nonconsidered to invention expense of the comment published prior to the international filling date but itset than the priority date claimed invention cannot be considered to invention expense or other such document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to invention expense or other such document published prior to the international filling date but itset than the priority date claimed invention cannot be considered to invention expense of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to invention expense of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to invention expense of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to invention expense of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to invention expense of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to invention expense of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to invention expense of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to invention expense of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to invention expense of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to invention expense of particular relevance; the claimed invention expense of particular relevance to c	Category °	Citation of De	ocument, 11 with indication, where appro	opriate	, of the relevant passages 12	Relevant to Claim No.13
*Special categories of cited documents: NO January 1991, see abstract *Special categories of cited documents: NO January 1991, see abstract *A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance. The considered to be of particular relevance filling date *To document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) *Or document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means *P* document published prior to the international filling date but itset than the priority date claimed invention cannot be considered to nonconsidered to invention expense of the comment published prior to the international filling date but itset than the priority date claimed invention cannot be considered to invention expense or other such document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to invention expense or other such document published prior to the international filling date but itset than the priority date claimed invention cannot be considered to invention expense of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to invention expense of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to invention expense of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to invention expense of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to invention expense of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to invention expense of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to invention expense of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to invention expense of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to invention expense of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to invention expense of particular relevance; the claimed invention expense of particular relevance to c						1
*Special categories of cited documents: 10 *Special categories of cited documents: 10 *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance *To document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) *Of document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means *P* document published prior to the international filling date but larve than the priority date claimed invention cannot be considered to be a considered to the content of the content of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to the ca	X	EP,A,O	309100 (AMYLIN CORP.) 2	9 March	1-13
*Special categories of cited documents: 10 *Special categories of cited documents: 10 *A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance to considered to be of particular relevance. To earlier document but published on or after the international filing date. To document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to enablish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified). To document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means. To document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed. To document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed. To document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed. To document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed. To document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed. To document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed. To document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed. To document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed. To document member of the same patent family. Date of Mailing of this International Search Report 2 0, 10, 92 International Searching Authority		1989,	see claim 2 (cited in	1 th	e application)	•
"Special categories of cited documents: 10 "Special categories of cited documents: 10 "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "I" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an onal disclosure, use, exhibition or other means ""document referring to an onal disclosure, use, exhibition or other means ""document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed IV. CERIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 23-09-1992 International Searching Authority T later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered to involve an invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered to involve an invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step document published and the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive an inventive step document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step document of pa	v	ED A 0	ADRORA CAMPLEN CORP.	1 1	6	1-13
*Special categories of cited documents: 20 *A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance *To document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) *To document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) *To document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means *To document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed *IV. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 23-09-1992 International Scarching Authority *International Search general state of document spublished after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the lowest on the considered to priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the lowesting. **Xocument operational relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step who the document specified to involve an inventive step who and invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step who are the followed involve an inventive step who and invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step who are the considered to involve an inventive step who are the considered to involve an inventive step who cannot be considered to involve an inventive step who cannot be considered to involve an inventive step who are the considered to involve an inventive step who cannot be considered to involve an inventive step who cannot be considered to involve an inventive step who cannot be considered to involve an inventive step who cannot be considered to involve an inventive step who cannot be considered to involve an inventive ste	x	lanuar.	v 1991 see abstract	- / -		
'A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance 'E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date 'I" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citations or other special reason (as specified) 'O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means 'P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed IV. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 23-09-1992 International Searching Authority or priority date and not in conflict with the application but invention invention invention invention or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. 'A" document member of the same patent family Date of Mailing of this International Search Report 2 0, 10, 92 Signature of Authorized Officer		ounder.				
'A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance 'E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date 'I" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citations or other special reason (as specified) 'O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means 'P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed IV. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 23-09-1992 International Searching Authority or priority date and not in conflict with the application but invention invention invention invention or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. 'A" document member of the same patent family Date of Mailing of this International Search Report 2 0, 10, 92 Signature of Authorized Officer					•	
'A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance 'E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date 'I" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citations or other special reason (as specified) 'O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means 'P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed IV. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 23-09-1992 International Searching Authority or priority date and not in conflict with the application but invention invention invention invention or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. 'A" document member of the same patent family Date of Mailing of this International Search Report 2 0, 10, 92 Signature of Authorized Officer						
'A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance 'E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date 'I" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citations or other special reason (as specified) 'O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means 'P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed IV. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 23-09-1992 International Searching Authority or priority date and not in conflict with the application but invention invention invention invention or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. 'A" document member of the same patent family Date of Mailing of this International Search Report 2 0, 10, 92 Signature of Authorized Officer						
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed IV. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 23-09-1992 International Searching Authority or priority date and not in conflict with the application but invention invention invention invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "A" document member of the same patent family Date of Mailing of this International Search Report 2 0, 10, 92 Signature of Authorized Officer Signature of Authorized Officer						
'A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance 'E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date 'I" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citations or other special reason (as specified) 'O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means 'P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed IV. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 23-09-1992 International Searching Authority or priority date and not in conflict with the application but invention invention invention invention or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. 'A" document member of the same patent family Date of Mailing of this International Search Report 2 0, 10, 92 Signature of Authorized Officer						
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed IV. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 23-09-1992 International Searching Authority or priority date and not in conflict with the application but invention invention invention invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "A" document member of the same patent family Date of Mailing of this International Search Report 2 0, 10, 92 Signature of Authorized Officer Signature of Authorized Officer				•		
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed IV. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 23-09-1992 International Searching Authority or priority date and not in conflict with the application but invention invention invention invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "A" document member of the same patent family Date of Mailing of this International Search Report 2 0, 10, 92 Signature of Authorized Officer Signature of Authorized Officer	İ					
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed IV. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 23-09-1992 International Searching Authority or priority date and not in conflict with the application but invention invention invention invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "A" document member of the same patent family Date of Mailing of this International Search Report 2 0, 10, 92 Signature of Authorized Officer Signature of Authorized Officer		,				
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed IV. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 23-09-1992 International Searching Authority or priority date and not in conflict with the application but in cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention (ACCUMENT OF PARTICULAR TELEVANCE; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "A" document member of the same patent family IV. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 20, 10, 92 Signature of Authorized Officer						
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed IV. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 23-09-1992 International Searching Authority or priority date and not in conflict with the application but in cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention (ACCUMENT OF PARTICULAR TELEVANCE; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "A" document member of the same patent family IV. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 20, 10, 92 Signature of Authorized Officer						
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed IV. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 23-09-1992 International Searching Authority or priority date and not in conflict with the application but in cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention (ACCUMENT OF PARTICULAR TELEVANCE; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "A" document member of the same patent family IV. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 20, 10, 92 Signature of Authorized Officer						
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed IV. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 23-09-1992 International Searching Authority or priority date and not in conflict with the application but in cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention (ACCUMENT OF PARTICULAR TELEVANCE; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "A" document member of the same patent family IV. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 20, 10, 92 Signature of Authorized Officer						
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed IV. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 23-09-1992 International Searching Authority or priority date and not in conflict with the application but invention invention invention invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "A" document member of the same patent family Date of Mailing of this International Search Report 2 0, 10, 92 Signature of Authorized Officer Signature of Authorized Officer						
'A' document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance E' earlier document but published on or after the international filing date 1' document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) 'O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means 'P' document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed IV. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 23-09-1992 International Searching Authority or priority date and not in conflict with the application but invention invention invention invention invention invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. '&' document member of the same patent family Date of Mailing of this International Search Report 2 0, 10, 92 Signature of Authorized Officer			10		Inter document published after the int	ernational filing date
considered to be of particular relevance To earlier document but published on or after the international filling date To document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) To document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means To document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed To CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 23-09-1992 International Searching Authority International Searching Authority International Searching Authority International Searching Authority Invention document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. The document member of the same patent family Date of Mailling of this International Search 20, 10, 92 Signature of Authorized Officer Signature of Authorized Officer	° Special	categories of cited do	ocuments: "" meral state of the art which is not		or minetty date and not in conflict Wit	h the application but
filing date 1.* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed IV. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 23-09-1992 International Searching Authority cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. *A* document member of the same patent family Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 2 0, 10, 92 Signature of Authorized Officer	COD	sidered to be of partic	miar reievance		invention	
which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed IV. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 23-09-1992 International Searching Authority Accument of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents; such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "A" document member of the same patent family Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 20, 10, 92 Signature of Authorized Officer Signature of Authorized Officer	filb	ng date		•	cannot be considered novel or cannot	be considered to •
citation or other special reason (as specified) Or document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means Producement published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed IV. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 23-09-1992 International Searching Authority Cannot be considered to involve an involve		ch is cited to establish	the publication date of another	4	"V" Accoment of particular relevance: the	claimed invention
other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed IV. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 23-09-1992 International Searching Authority ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "&" document member of the same patent family Date of Mailing of this International Search 2 0, 10, 92	cita	tion or other special r	reason (as specified)		cannot be considered to involve an in-	ventive step when the one other such docu-
IV. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 23-09-1992 International Searching Authority Date of Mailing of this International Search 2 0, 10, 92	oth	er means			ments, such combination being obviou	is to a person skilled
IV. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 23-09-1992 International Searching Authority Date of Mailing of this International Search 2 0, 10, 92	P doc	ument published prior er than the priority da	r to the international filing date out te claimed		'&' document member of the same patent	family
Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 2 0. 10. 92 International Searching Authority Date of Mailing of this International Search Report 2 0. 10. 92						
23-09-1992 International Searching Authority Signature of Authorized Officer One of the searching Authority			the International Search		Date of Mailing of this International	Search Report
International Searching Authority Signature of Authorized Officer	Date of the	•			2 0, 10, 92	
International Searching Animorny	1	23-09-	1992			<u> </u>
FURDREAN PATENT OFFICE	Internationa	Searching Authority	,		Signature of Authorized Officer	1.0
EUROPEANTALIAN ON THE PARTY OF		EUROPE	EAN PATENT OFFICE		I MAGNICI Y	YUUN .

ANNEX TO THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT ON INTERNATIONAL PATENT APPLICATION NO.

US 9204351

61090 SA

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on 05/10/92. The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Paten men	Publication date		
EP-A- 0309100	29-03-89	AU-A- JP-A- US-A-	2157588 1096137 5124314	20-07-89 14-04-89 23-06-92	
EP-A- 0408284	16-01-91	JP-T- WO-A-	4500691 9100710	06-02-92 24-01-91	

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)